

**A randomized controlled, trial on effects of mobile phone text messaging in combination with motivational interviewing versus standard infant feeding counselling on breastfeeding and child health outcomes, among women living with HIV**

**Results**

Study recruitment started on 22 July 2022. We screened 1145 mother-infant pairs and recruited 276 mother-infant pairs by 27 November 2023. We randomly assigned 138 mother-infant pairs to each study group. We completed study follow up by 15 May 2024. Figure 1 shows participant flow. One hundred five and 101 participants in the intervention group and standard care group, respectively, had outcome data evaluation across all four study visits.




Figure 1. Flow of participants

Most mothers were unemployed, had high school education, were single or never married. Disclosure of HIV status to significant others was high, at 95%. Most (87%) mothers had HIV diagnosis before the index pregnancy (table 1).

Table 1 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants, *n* = 276

Characteristic	Intervention group, n=138	Standard of care group, n=138
Mother age, mean $\pm$ SD	32 $\pm$ 6 years	31 $\pm$ 6 years
Gestational age at booking, mean $\pm$ SD	16 $\pm$ 8 weeks	16 $\pm$ 8 weeks
Gestational age at delivery in weeks, mean $\pm$ SD	39 $\pm$ 1	40 $\pm$ 1
Mother most recent CD4 count, median (IQR)	481 (318 to 627) cells/ $\mu$ l	471 (302 to 702) cells/ $\mu$ l
Mother most recent viral load, median (IQR)	20 (20 to 44) copies/ml	20 (20 to 26) copies/ml
Birthweight, mean $\pm$ SD	3218 $\pm$ 416 grams	3207 $\pm$ 383 grams
Baby length, mean $\pm$ SD	50 $\pm$ 5 cm	50 $\pm$ 5 cm
Occupation, n (%)		
Unemployed	87 (63%)	91 (66%)
Employed	48 (35%)	41 (30%)
Student	2 (1%)	4 (3%)
Other	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Highest level of schooling, n(%) completed		
None completed	0 (0%)	2 (2%)
Primary school	2 (1%)	3 (2%)
High school	131 (95%)	126 (91%)
Tertiary	5 (4%)	7 (5%)
Marital status, n (%)		
Single	79 (57%)	82 (59%)
Married/living with partner	57 (41%)	56 (41%)
Divorced/Widowed	2 (2%)	0 (0%)
Baby gender Girl, n (%)	69 (50%)	62 (45%)
Number of complete or incomplete pregnancies, n (%)		
1	23 (17%)	39 (28%)
2	113 (82%)	97 (70%)
3	2 (1%)	2 (2%)
Mode of delivery, n (%)		
Normal delivery	137 (99%)	136 (99%)
Assisted normal delivery	1 (1%)	2 (1%)
Time of HIV diagnosis		
Before pregnancy	121 (88%)	120 (87%)
During pregnancy	16 (11%)	18 (13%)
At delivery	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Time cART initiation, n (%)		
Before pregnancy	123 (89%)	118 (85%)
During pregnancy	14 (10%)	20 (15%)
At delivery	1 (1%)	0 (0%)
Disclosure of HIV status to significant others, n (%)		
No	10 (7%)	4 (3%)
Yes	128 (93%)	134 (97%)
Baby on antiretroviral prevention, n (%)		
No	130 (94%)	131 (95%)
Yes	4 (3%)	0 (0%)
Don't know	4 (3%)	7 (5%)

### Infant feeding practices

Exclusive breastfeeding practices were modest in both study groups through week 10 and dramatically dropped at week 24 (table 2). We found no significant effect of the intervention on exclusive breastfeeding rates at week 24, (6% versus 7%), rate difference -1% (95% CI -6% to 4%). After inputting missing outcome data, we found rate difference of -1% (95% CI -8% to 5%) (table 3). The z-statistic of -0.36 did not exceed the predefined O'Brien-Fleming stopping boundary value of  $\pm 1.9776$ .

Table 2 Estimate of breastfeeding rates at each study visit by study group.

	<b>Intervention group: n =138</b>		<b>Standard care group: n=138</b>	
	n	Number of endpoints (%)	n	Number of endpoints (%)
<b>Exclusive breastfeeding</b>				
Visit 1 (week 2)	111	65 (59%)	110	73 (66%)
Visit 2 (week 6)	113	67 (59%)	115	59 (51%)
Visit 3 (week 10)	121	56 (46%)	119	41 (34%)
Visit 4 (week 24)	121	13 (11%)	120	10 (8%)
<b>Any form of breastfeeding</b>				
Visit 1 (week 2)	111	107 (96%)	110	108 (98%)
Visit 2 (week 6)	113	105 (93%)	115	110 (96%)
Visit 3 (week 10)	121	110 (91%)	119	107 (90%)
Visit 4 (week 24)	121	87 (72%)	120	92 (77%)

Table 3 Estimate of breastfeeding rates, complete case analysis

	Intervention group: n = 138		Standard care group: n=138		p-value	Rate difference (95% CI)
	n	Number of endpoints (%)	n	Number of endpoints (%)		
<b>Co-primary outcomes</b>						
Exclusive breastfeeding from childbirth to 24 weeks	105	6 (6%)	101	7 (7%)	0.72	-0.01(-0.06 to 0.04)
Any form from breastfeeding from childbirth to 24 weeks	105	79 (75%)	101	79 (78%)	0.61	-0.03 (-0.15 to 0.09)
<b>Secondary outcomes</b>						
Exclusive breastfeeding from childbirth to 6 weeks	105	47 (45%)	105	43 (41%)	0.58	0.04 (-0.10 to 0.17)
Any form of breastfeeding from childbirth to 6 weeks	105	98 (93%)	105	96 (91%)	0.60	0.02 (-0.05 to 0.09)
Exclusive breastfeeding from childbirth to 10 weeks	105	29 (28%)	102	24 (24%)	0.50	0.04 (-0.08 to 0.16)
Any form of breastfeeding from childbirth to 10 weeks	105	97 (92%)	102	91 (89%)	0.43	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.11)
All-cause child hospitalization or death	105	4 (2.9%)		9 (6.5%)	0.16	

The odds of exclusive breastfeeding at week 24 were 19% non-significantly lower in the intervention group compared to the standard care group, OR 0.81 (95% CI 0.26 to 2.51),  $p = 0.72$ .

Most mothers continued breastfeeding while adding other foods through week 24 (table 2 and 3). The intervention had no effect on any form of breastfeeding rates (75% versus 78%), rate difference -3% (95% CI -15% to 9%) in complete case analysis and after inputting missing outcome data, -3% (95% CI -15% to 9%) (table 3). The odds of any form of breastfeeding to week 24 were 15% non-significantly lower in the intervention group compared to the standard care group, OR 0.85 (95% CI 0.44 to 1.62),  $p = 0.61$ .

Sixty-two of 276 (22%) (34 (25%) in the intervention group and 28 (20%) standard care group) mother-child pairs completely stopped breastfeeding before week 24. The median (IQR) age at time of stopping breastfeeding was 16 (6 to 20) weeks. Time to stopping breastfeeding was similar in the study groups,  $p = 0.37$ , Figure 2. The most common reasons reported by mothers for stopping breastfeeding were the mother needing to return to work or look for work, 66% (n=41) and insufficient breastmilk or child refused breastmilk, 19% (n=12).




Figure 2. Time to stopping any form of breastfeeding, by study group

### All-cause child hospitalization or death

Thirteen children experienced 14 hospital admissions. Twelve children were hospitalized once, and one child in the intervention group was hospitalized twice. Two hospitalized children died, one from each study group. The number of children hospitalized was not different between the intervention group and standard care group, 4 (2.9%) versus 9 (6.5%),  $p = 0.16$ . The intervention reduced the odds of hospitalization or death by 61%, OR 0.39 (95% CI 0.10 to 1.57),  $p = 0.19$ . Time to child death or first hospitalization was similar in the groups (Figure 3),  $p = 0.18$ . Early breastfeeding cessation increased risk of child hospitalization or death compared to breastfeeding to 6 months, among children who completed all visits, 10% (5/48) versus 3% (5/158),  $p=0.055$ . Early breastfeeding cessation more than tripled the odds of child hospitalization or death, OR 3.56 (95% CI 0.98 to 12.86).

Most children had diarrhea. Incidence of diarrhea decreased over time, rates were 91% at week 2, 86% at week 6, 63% at week 10 and 16% at week 24. Non-routine medically attended visits were similar; 29 in the intervention group and 30 for standard care.




Figure 3. Time to death or first hospitalization, by study group

Most children had diarrhea. Incidence of diarrhea decreased over time, rates were 91% at week 2, 86% at week 6, 63% at week 10 and 16% at week 24. Non-routine medically attended visits were similar; 29 in the intervention group and 30 for standard care.

### Infant growth

Infant growth was similar between study groups, (Figures 4 and table 4). Mean weight for age z-scores increased over time in both groups (Figure 4), with no significant difference between groups,  $p = 0.87$ . We found no significant difference in mean length for age z-scores,  $p = 0.21$  and mean weight for length z-scores,  $p = 0.88$ .




Figure 4. Mean weight for age z-score, weight for length z-score, length for age z-score, by study group

Table 4 Infant growth outcomes, mean z-scores by study group

Study visit	Intervention	Standard care	Mean difference (95% CI)
<b>Mean weight for age z-score</b>			
Visit 2 (6 week)	0.26	0.12	0.14 ( -0.13 to 0.40)
Visit 3 (10 week)	0.22	0.11	0.11 (-0.16 to 0.38)
Visit 4 (24 week)	0.68	0.70	-0.02 ( -0.32 to 0.28)
<b>Mean length for age z-score</b>			
Visit 2 (6 week)	-0.89	-0.82	-0.07( -0.49 to 0.36)
Visit 3 (10 week)	-0.28	-0.57	0.29 ( -0.13 to 0.71)
Visit 4 (24 week)	0.49	0.28	0.21 ( -0.22 to 0.63)
<b>Mean weight for length z-score</b>			
Visit 2 (6 week)	1.30	1.20	0.10 ( -0.37 to 0.57)
Visit 3 (10 week)	0.78	0.77	0.01 ( -0.45 to 0.48)
Visit 4 (24 week)	0.76	0.82	-0.06 ( -0.54 to 0.41)

### Safety outcomes

Most mothers reported cART adherence in the month prior to each visit as very good or excellent across all visits, rates of very good or excellent adherence ranged between 90% and 97%. Study participation led to no involuntary disclosure of HIV status. No mother reported relationship conflicts with their partners due to study participation. Child immunization profiles were similar across study groups, all infants received BCG at birth, 99% received the week 6, 10 and 14 immunizations and only 19% had received the six-month immunizations.

### Conclusion

Socio-economic pressures influenced mothers' decision to stop breastfeeding. Introduction of other foods before six months while breastfeeding remains common. Early cessation of breastfeeding is a public health threat. Finding interventions to enhance exclusive breastfeeding and the provision of high-quality HIV services remains critical. Innovative interventions responsive to socio-economic challenges faced by mothers may be more effective in improving exclusive breastfeeding rates.